UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/660,822	09/12/2003	Huy Phan	03-035 (US01) 7042		
23410 Vista IP Law C	7590 12/12/2007 Froup I I P		EXAMINER		
2040 MAIN ST	FREET, 9TH FLOOR	GIBSON, ROY DEAN			
IRVINE, CA 9	2614		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3739		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			12/12/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

,		Application	No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/660,822		PHAN, HUY				
٠	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
	·	Roy D. Gibse		3739				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>28 September 2007</u>. This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i>, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. 								
Disposition of Claims								
 4) Claim(s) 2-4,9-13,17,27,29,30,32,34,35,37,39,40,42,45-62 and 64-73 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 2,4,9-13,17,35,37,39,40,42,45-53,55,57-59,61,62 and 64-73 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 27,29,30,32,34,54 and 56 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 								
Applicati	on Papers							
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 								
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
2) Notice	t(s) te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) tr No(s)/Mail Date 9/28/2007.	5) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da) Notice of Informal Pa	ite				

10/660,822 Art Unit: 3739

DETAILED ACTION

Claim 3 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate of claim 4. When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k). The tissue sensing element is claimed in both.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 32, 34, 54 and 56 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Daniel et al. (6,027,497). Daniel et al. disclose a medical probe for use with tissue, comprising:

an elongate member (52) having a proximal end and a distal end;

an operative element (optical fiber for transmitting laser energy) carried at the distal end of the elongate member; and a conically-shaped stabilizing shroud (58) circumscribing at least a portion of the operative element, the shroud configured for applying a vacuum force to secure the operative element relative to the tissue;

Application/Control Number:

10/660,822 Art Unit: 3739

wherein the shroud is secured to the distal end of the elongate member and wherein the shroud is composed of a material exhibiting low electrical conductivity (silicone rubber, plastic, etc. (col. 7, lines 4-11 and col. 10, lines 3-35).

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 27 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Pless et al. (6,645,202). Pless et al. disclose a method of performing a medical procedure on a patient using a medical probe having an operative element and a shroud circumscribing at least a portion of the operative element, comprising:

introducing the medical probe within the patient to place the operative element adjacent a target epicardial tissue site;

applying a vacuum force to the shroud to secure the operative element relative to the target tissue site; and

sensing a signal at the target tissue site (temperature sensor # 336) with the operative element while the operative element is secured relative to the target tissue site (col. 25, line 22-col. 26, line 46).

10/660,822 Art Unit: 3739

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 27, 29, 30 and 60 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Daniel et al. who disclose the method of performing a medical procedure and all elements essentially as claimed except for a sensor for sensing a signal at the tissue. But, the examiner maintains that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to add a temperature sensor to measure/monitor/control the temperature of the tissue as it is heated/ablated by the energy source. Further, Daniel et al. discloses the shroud faces in the distal direction and that the shroud has one or more vacuum ports.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 2, 4, 9-13, 17, 35, 37, 39, 40, 42, 45-53, 55, 57-59, 61, 62 and 64-73 are allowed.

Conclusion

The affidavits and declarations submitted on 9/28/2007 are considered adequate to show the instant invention predates application filing of Whayne et al. (No. 10/425,251, now P/N 7,063,698).

Application/Control Number:

10/660,822

Art Unit: 3739

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Roy D. Gibson whose telephone number is 571-272-4767. The examiner can normally be reached on Tu-Th, 7:30 am-4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Linda Dvorak can be reached on 571-272-4764. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Roy D/Gibson/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 3739

December 7, 2007